



Meeting Minutes

Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee

Attendance	DATE		Monday, July 13, 2026		
	TIME		9:00 AM		
	METHOD		Zoom		
	RECORDER		Loren Borst		
Appointed Voting Member Attendance					
Member Name	Present	Member Name	Present	Member Name	Present
Brett Compston –Chair	X	Cpt. Joe Colacurcio	X	Ken Quiner	ABS
Brian O’Neal – Vice Chair	X	COL. Kyle Cerfoglio	X	Misty Robinson	X
Andy Ancho	X	Tim Galluzi	ABS	Bill Savran	X
Jayson Andrus	ABS	Kelly Echeverria	X	Cary Underwood	X
Roy Anderson	X	Andrea Esp	X	Donielle (DT) Allen	X
Solome Barton	X	Timothy Hill	X	Bert Carroll	X
Taylor Allison	X	Eric Holt	X	Diana Clarkson	X
Jon Bakkedahl	X	Chris Lake	X	Corey Ross	ABS
Noah Boyer	X	Carolyn Levering	X		
Lee Cabaniss	X	Greg Prestipino	X		
Doug McMahan	ABS	Scott Means	X		
Ana Chavez	X	Matthew Petersen	X		
Appointed Non-Voting Member Attendance					
Sarah Fichtner	X	Melissa Friend	X		
Heather Lafferty	X	Selby Marks	X		
Legal/Administrative Support Attendance					
Representative			Entity		Present
Samantha Ladich – Senior Deputy Attorney General			Office of the Nevada Attorney General		X
Loren Borst – Administrative Assistant			Nevada Office of Emergency Management		X

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chair Brett Compston, State Administrative Agent (SAA) Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security (OEM/HS), called the meeting to order. Loren Borst, OEM/HS, performed the roll call. A quorum was established for the meeting.

2. Public Comment

Chair Compston, OEM/HS, opened the first period of public comment. There was no public comment,

Chair Compston closed the first period of public comment.

3. Approval of Minutes

Chair Compston, OEM/HS, presented minutes from the January 20, 2026, meeting for discussion, Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, made a motion to accept the minutes, Andrea Esp, Northern Nevada Public Health Preparedness, seconded. Motion passed.

Chair Compston, OEM/HS, presented minutes from the April 28, 2026, meeting for discussion, Solome Barton, North Las Vegas Emergency Manager, made a motion to accept the minutes, Chris Lake, Nevada Hospital Association, seconded. Mr. Bakkedahl abstained. Motion passed.

4. Overview of the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2026 Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) and the State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

Chair Compston, OEM/HS, provided an overview of the grant process, recipient requirements, as well as the ranking process, which was approved by the NRAC during the January 26th committee meeting. All grants will compete every year, with automatic renewals no longer occurring. Based on this change, Chair Compston stated that if, at the end of the explanation, any applicants wanted to pull their project out, they could.

Chair Compston explained that each applicant would have five minutes to present their project. Due to the requirement for 10% of the SHSP funds be dedicated to border crisis response and enforcement, the Washoe County Sheriff's Office application would be automatically approved, as they were the only applicant in this category, which is exactly 10% of the grant. He stated, when ranking this project, list it as number one, to facilitate calculations.

Chair Compston continued, stating the total State Homeland Security target allocation is \$4,362,750, with \$6,916,620 in requests, and \$4.144 million available for projects. Therefore, approximately \$2.772 million it was necessary to negotiate the requests down to those amounts. There were five national priority areas, requiring a 30% minimum spend across all five, with that amount being \$1,308,825. These areas are enhancing the protection of soft targets in crowded spaces; supporting Homeland Security Task Force and fusion centers; enhancing and integrating cybersecurity resilience; supporting border crisis, response, and enforcement; and enhancing election security.

Chair Compston indicated 35% of the grant must be dedicated to law enforcement, terrorism prevention activities. He also emphasized that there is uncertainty regarding the actual amount approved sub-applicants will receive, due to the application of a withholding condition, due to this year's enhancing election security NPA. He indicated they are unable to comply, as the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) is currently published with a hold of

20% of the overall grant. He added that since Carson City's project is the only application for election security this year, that project will need to be approved, with their total project cost easily meeting the 3% requirement.

Chair Compston continued by indicating that the Nevada focused investment areas were introduced this year, and while no specific amounts or percentages were tied to any investment category, they are all required to be funded at some level during the negotiation arrangement process. He then gave a brief explanation of the categories with a ten-year analysis of historical grant recipients, as well as guidelines and recommendations for recommended, encouraged, required, etc. He indicated that, upon completion of ranking, project cost adjustment solicitation will again occur.

Chair Compston explained that, upon ranking completion, a recommendation to the Homeland Security Finance Committee will be made to adjust the ranking, post-NRAC timeline for awareness: The Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) rankings will then go to the Urban Area Working Group (UAWG), which will meet on July 14, then to the Homeland Security Finance Committee, who meets on July 15. All applicants were to be available to answer questions from the finance committee during this session. After that, OEM/HS will go through the process of the application submission to FEMA, in accordance with the NOFO timeline.

Chair Compston then asked if there were any projects that wished to pull out of the competition. There were no projects that wished to pull out of the competition.

There were no additional comments or questions from members.

5. FFY 2026 SHSP Project and Budget Proposal Presentations

Chair Compston, OEM/HS, stated that presentations would be limited to five minutes from project representatives who submitted the proposals. He labeled the proposals alphabetically, starting with A.

A. Boulder City Fire Department – Regional Communications Enhancement Command Vehicle Interoperable Radio Expansion

Chair Compston indicated this is not a law enforcement, terrorism, protective activity; it is not a national priority area; it is not a state-focused area.

Jimmy Whitworth, Administrative Captain, Boulder City Fire Department, spoke on behalf of the fire department. This project will cost \$60,000, but is scalable to \$40,000. The project will get their command vehicles up to par by adding an additional radio channel. He indicated, they currently communicate on two, different channels, making communication cumbersome and difficult, as they use a hand pack and a radio installed in a vehicle. There are other difficulties, including radio feedback. This upgrade would put them in line with Las Vegas and Clark County, as well as the Metro County Park Service, and Henderson Community Ambulance.

Mr. Whitworth emphasized that this upgrade is critical for firefighter safety, as they lose radio traffic during calls and are currently short of their department goals and objectives. They also

have concerns related to possible loss of a mayday or situation deterioration, where they may end up with a NIOSH or OSHA report, due to communication difficulties or complete loss of communication. This upgrade will close this communication gap with more efficient radio operability.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, asked why this was not put in the UASI applications. Mr. Whitworth indicated that, upon their application submission, this is where they were guided and their applications placed. Chair Compston indicated that he and Chief Brian O'Neal, Clark County Fire Department, did an extensive review of the applications late, where it was discovered that this application had already been submitted. He confirmed that they do qualify as part of MSA and should be part of the UASI, with their future applications being ranked with UASI, but due to the timelines, they moved forward with where they were placed.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Deputy Fire Chief, stated that their install cost is more than the actual cost of the equipment. He asked if they had three quotes or different vendors who may give a better cost than the \$9,610 per install quote. Mr. Whitworth indicated that the install is through Motorola, who is the only vendor that can do the install they are looking for. They have been unable to find another vendor who can do what they are asking for.

Mr. Petersen asked if it was scalable, in that if they remove the headsets and go with a base Motorola or vehicle radio. Mr. Whitworth stated, as far as scalable, they are asking for three, but are scalable down to two.

Mr. Petersen asked if they had explored utilizing the new, county E-911 funds for some of the end-to-end user products, giving an example in Elko County, where they made purchases with these funds to bring communication current. Mr. Whitworth indicated he was not familiar with E-911. He wondered if Mr. Petersen was talking about the 911 surcharge funds, but understood that those will not be available for at least another year.

There were no further questions or comments for item A.

B. Boulder City Fire Department – Regional Hazardous Materials Technical Decontamination Capability Enhancement

Chair Compston indicated this is not a law enforcement, terrorism, protective activity; it is a national priority area in the area of soft targets.

Jimmy Whitworth, Administrative Captain, Boulder City Fire Department, spoke on behalf of the fire department. He indicated the project will cost roughly \$23,000 and is for a ZUMRO technical decontamination line, which will assist them in protecting the roughly 212 square miles they cover. They have done a risk assessment. They are working on establishing a full hazmat team, which is one reason for this project.

Mr. Whitworth stated this project will make the interoperability more compatible to where the decon line is with Henderson, Las Vegas, and Clark County Fire. Due to their low personnel numbers, the decontamination will be more quickly established. This line will be a critical element in hazmat support with lower personnel numbers and still maintain efficiency. It also enables in-line communication with surrounding agencies. The project is not scalable, as the cost

is just the line itself.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, asked if any funds had been pursued through the State Emergency Response Commission. Mr. Whitworth indicated they had not.

There were no other questions or comments on this item.

C. Carson City Emergency Management – Carson City CERT

Chair Compston stated this is not a LEPTA, does qualify as a national priority for soft targets, and state qualification was an investment area.

Jon Bakkedahl presented on behalf of Carson City Emergency Management. This project is for the Carson City Emergency Response Team (CERT) grant. It would fund the program manager/deputy emergency manager, as one half of the salary; add CERT capabilities, including backpacks and additional supplies to continue training exercises and additional deployments that are currently shelved; and add funding to hire additional contractors for three, annual academies, as well as a regional-based exercise for volunteer agencies to be open to more than Carson City.

There were no questions or comments on this item.

D. City of Carson City – Carson City Elections Security Project.

Chair Compston stated this is a national priority, indicating there is a minimum spend for this item of \$130,882, and the total project cost of \$864,310.

Shauna Bakkedahl, Chief Deputy for Elections, City of Carson City, presented the project. This project will modernize election infrastructure by replacing some aging voting equipment with U.S. Election Assistance Commission certified systems. The new equipment will be VVSG 2.0 guideline compliant. The project is scalable. It will strengthen election security systems, improve accessibility, enhance audibility, and increase operational resilience, while supporting Nevada's requirement that voting systems meet or exceed EAC standards.

Ms. Bakkedahl detailed that the project consists of the new, certified equipment, installation, testing, implementation, and training. The new system incorporates advanced design to address today's evolving cybersecurity threat environment and reduce risks associated with maintaining legacy technology. She did voice appreciation to the Nevada Secretary of State's assistance to local jurisdictions to offset some of the associated costs associated with transition to the new system.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Deputy Fire Chief, asked if there was any additional funding through the Secretary of State to offset any more of the associated implementation costs. Ms. Bakkedahl indicated that there is not. She indicated the provide some funding for the top-down voter registration system, but not equipment replacement or maintenance, as this is the local jurisdiction's responsibility.

Mr. Petersen asked what the implementation timeline was. Ms. Bakkedahl stated they have already spoken with their vendor, who has the devices ready, with implementation occurring

over the next few years.

Mr. Petersen asked for more details on the scalability of the project. Ms. Bakkedahl indicated she could start with her older equipment first, specifically mentioning approximately 100 tabulation devices, starting with four of them. She would then move to her high-speed tabulator later, which is a larger-expense item.

Mr. Petersen asked what the lifecycle/lifespan is of the new equipment. Ms. Bakkedahl indicated there is no life cycle, per se, but more a matter of reaching the most current certification standard versus staying with the older, less safe equipment. The newer equipment will better protect against the current cybersecurity threats.

Solome Barton, North Las Vegas Emergency Manager, asked what was the minimum dollar amount possible and still get the project going. Ms. Bakkedahl stated the 3% (\$130,882) will get all of the tabulators, including the high-speed tabulator, very quickly.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, stated her appreciation to Carson City for their flexibility to potentially reduce costs and not submitting the entire application, as there is currently legislation in process to potentially reduce costs up to 50%.

There were no further questions or comments for this item.

E. City of Reno – Bailout System

Chair Compston indicated this is not a LEPTA item, not a national priority, and is not a state-focused investment area.

Aaron Wike from the City of Reno presented the project. This request was for 300, self-rescue bailout systems, for a total cost of \$240,000. The equipment will provide an emergency escape option with traditional egress routes are unavailable, which improves firefighter survivability and responder safety. Currently, there is no standardized bail system equipment.

Mr. Wike indicated the equipment will strengthen response capabilities during terrorist attacks, active violence incidents, and other complex emergencies by improving responder survivability and enhancing overall operational readiness for all hazard incidents. It will also support regional and mutual aid operations and efforts.

Noah Boyer, Washoe County Sheriff's Office (WCSO) Consolidated Bomb Squad, asked if there were 300 personnel on shift at one time. Mr. Wike stated they have 300 total firefighters or first responders, but only have 77 on shift at the same time.

Mr. Boyer then asked what the scalability of the project was. Pete Bryant, Division Chief, City of Reno, stated the project intent is to have one kit assigned to each firefighter, who will maintain, but not own. He stated the project is not scalable.

Solome Barton, North Las Vegas Emergency Manager, asked if there was any other funding source. Mr. Bryant stated there are other funding sources, but this was the first option that came up in the City of Reno's search.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Deputy Fire Chief, stated he had difficulty supporting this project, feeling it was more of a department level of other grant responsibility. Mr. Bryant indicated the project would be for a terrorism incident, in a mid- to high-rise building, where entrapment is more likely. It could play small roles in structural fires.

Mr. Petersen asked how it supported the region, as a whole. Mr. Bryant stated, if there was a high-rise incident, fire department members would participate in the mutual-aid response, subsequently having the kits available to use, if necessary, facilitating safe evacuation.

Mr. Petersen then asked if the kits were for other people, or just the assigned firefighter. Mr. Bryant stated the kits are just for the assigned firefighter.

Captain Joe Colacurcio, Washoe County Sheriff's Office, asked what is the current protocol for building entrapment of personnel, as well as the benefit of the proposed new equipment. Mr. Bryant stated the current protocol is ad hoc, each member either has nothing or has created their own bailout equipment. There isn't a current bailout procedure. The new equipment will facilitate not only having proper bailout equipment, it will facilitate a standardized bailout procedure and protocol, across the entire department.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, asked if other department within the basin were known. She then asked what has historically been done to fill the bailout need, indicating the latter question had already been answered. Mr. Bryant stated he understood none of the regional departments have these devices, due to the minimal amount of medium- and high-rise buildings in the other jurisdictions. He indicated that, if this project were funded, it would put Reno ahead, enabling other departments to see the equipment, buy it, and a standardized equipment system would be established.

Ms. Echeverria asked what the life of the equipment was. Mr. Bryant stated he was unsure of the NFPA spec on it, but because it is seldomly used, he estimated ten years. He added that, as this is not equipment that is regularly used, it would not see a lot of wear and tear, but quarterly training would be provided. This training would be on setting up the system, but not necessarily using it to dangle and/or repel, enabling good longevity. He again stated he did not know the NFPA compliance, but estimated five to ten years.

Ms. Echeverria asked if this had been regionally reported as a gap that should be addressed in the integrated preparedness planning workshop. Mr. Wike stated he didn't believe it was, but would have to verify that.

Chief Brian O'Neal, Clark County Fire Department, asked if it was a static or dynamic rope, and what would be the replacement if it was shock loaded. Mr. Bryant stated, in looking at the system specifications, it doesn't say if it is static or dynamic. He indicated that if it were shock loaded, and it was used in a fire for a bailout, the entire unit would be retired and replaced. He did state that, as this is a very low frequency event, in all likelihood, this would not happen to be an ongoing, high-cost item. For such an event, the units would be replaced by the City of Reno department.

There were no further questions or comments.

F. Douglas County – Douglas CERT Vehicle

Chair Compston stated this was not a LEPTA item, was not a national priority area, but did qualify for state category of CERT closures.

Kara Easton, Douglas County Emergency Manager. Douglas County Emergency Management CERT program does not currently have a vehicle to tow their five trailers – three shelter trailers, a pod trailer, and an event trailer – relying on other county resources from the county, delaying response time for mass care services and operational coordination.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Fire Department, asked if there were other funding sources looked into for this project. He also asked how often the assets are deployed. Ms. Easton stated they have not looked for other funding sources yet. The trailers are deployed for training, exercises, and real-world events, with a fire just occurring this past Friday, but luckily, that trailer was already where it needed to be. The trailers are also used for regional partner support, such as Lyon County.

Mr. Petersen then asked if there was a hand-me-down in Douglas County or East Fork Fire that could be obtained, on minimal use. Ms. Easton stated East Fork Fire is not a Douglas County agency, so it would have to be a request to them, putting them in the same situation of asking, as with other asking situations they are currently at.

There were no other questions or comments for this item.

G. Douglas County – Douglas County CERT Uniforms

Chair Compston stated this is not a LEPTA, not a national priority area, and is a state CERT category.

Kara Easton, Douglas County Emergency Manager. This project is for \$6,000 to update uniforms for their CERT members. Currently, they have random, mismatched colors and logos. This project would enable consistent uniforms for approximately 20, active volunteers.

There were no questions or comments for this item.

H. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) – SNCTC Sustain – SHSP 26

Chair Compston stated this was a LEPTA, was a national priority for fusion centers, and is a state priority for fusion centers.

Cary Underwood, Southern Nevada Counter-Terrorism Center (SNCTC) LVMPD. This project is for sustainment funding for the LVMPD, as the SNCTC, which is the primary fusion center in Nevada. There are over 30 agencies represented at SNCTC, as well as other Cyber Intelligence Reporting (CIRG), who work 24/7. This year, starting in July 2027, LVMPD has committed to pulling a cyber analyst off this grant, so this ask is for less than previous years, making this position LVMPD funded.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Fire Department, asked if the \$2,800 membership should be funded with this grant, or if it should be funded at the county or department level. Mr. Underwood stated that the SNCTC is the designated fusion center in Nevada, and as such there are representatives from numerous agencies involved at the center, which is why it is on the grant, and has historically been there. He added that the primary memberships involved are the ones that are certifying organizations for intelligence analysis, emergency management, and the core functions done at the fusion center.

Mr. Petersen clarified that his question was towards the greater good and knowledge of the group, hoping they get additional resources through the memberships, allowing them to be productive. Mr. Underwood stated that is correct, stating that more than just Nevada benefits, but regional and national partners benefit, as well.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, voiced the increasing problem of counter-UAS issues. He indicated that a lot of counter-UAS information and intelligence is coming from information gathered from Las Vegas and the SNCTC.

Chief Brian O'Neal, Clark County Fire Department, asked for more discussion about the coordination of services provided by SNCTC, and NTAC. Mr. Underwood stated that NTAC and SNCTC are co-authors of an annual, state threat assessment that is required by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the State of Nevada, ensuring a statewide, holistic approach to meet these requirements. Additionally, NTAC is an augmenting force in the numerous amount of special events held in Nevada. There is extensive collaboration between NTAC and SNCTC for events within Nevada as well as outside of Nevada, as some of the larger events, like the recent FIFA tournament, that extend beyond Nevada's borders.

Chair Compston stated that a large portion of his role is sourcing the fusion center for intelligence assistance, stating that without that resource available to him, he would be unable to fulfil his job duties appropriately.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, asked if there was any cut to the UASI grant, as well, or was this a statewide process focus. Mr. Underwood stated they did seek UASI reductions, but they are always seeking reductions, when they can and when they feel it is necessary. They try not to rely on these grant funds for sustainability or enhanced capabilities, but feel it is necessary this time to increase their drone and DFR operations and capability.

Greg Prestipino, Director of Nevada Threat Analysis Center, added that the NTAC, SNCTC, and the NRAC complement each other in sharing information. Anytime a critical incident occurs, all three talk in coordination to identify the gaps.

Chair Compston stated that there are no cost shares in this year's grant, which he felt was the last time there will be a 100% grant from the federal government. Because of this, he felt all agencies need to be headed towards removing and transitioning sustainment personnel costs to their natural budget. He added that, as this grant continues to evolve and move forward, it should be used more for projects, seed money, and capability enhancement versus sustainment.

I. Lyon County – Lyon County Emergency Management UTV

Chair Compston stated this was a LEPTA category, it was a national priority for soft targets. No state specification was given.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, gave this presentation. This project is for an emergency management UTV, which will enable access to telecommunications, radio repeaters, and internet tie-ins. She indicated Lyon county has limited capability to access to their remote, rural access areas, with recognized gaps in increased surveillance and patrols of their critical infrastructure, as well as severe weather, seismic activity, and other hazards threatening infrastructure.

Ms. Allison indicated this vehicle will enable them to access critical infrastructure to sustain those operations, including cybersecurity. The vehicle will also enable transport of emergency equipment for special events and acts of terrorism.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, asked if their public works had this capability. Ms. Allison stated they have a couple of side-by-sides within the county fleet, but those do not meet the capabilities of the requested vehicle, as they are significantly smaller, with less ground clearance. An additional, recent budget constraint was that they had a side-by-side stolen, which required immediate replacement. She indicated they have look at other grant funding, but with those, there are very specific requirements, such as use for law enforcement, education, OHV safety, etc. The need is for a vehicle to sustain infrastructure.

Lee Cabaniss, Elko County Emergency Manager, asked if there is an intent to share the vehicle with other departments. He then asked if the project was scalable. Ms. Allison stated the vehicle is intended for the entirety of Lyon County. She stated this ask is very conservative ask, with flexibility in areas like lighting and decals. In combination with the trailer, this project is the minimum.

Cary Underwood, SNCTC LVMPD, asked if there were any interdependency issues related to their communications maintenance, as relates to outside of Lyon County. Ms. Allison stated some of their critical infrastructure sites are co-located and their equipment can be serviced. They have worked with departments outside of Lyon County for this, historically, and are flexible and willing to do this, moving forward.

There were no more questions or comments on this item.

J. Nevada Office of Emergency Management – Technology and Mission Support

Chair Compston stated this was not a LEPTA item, was not a national priority area, and was in the encouraged, but not required alerts and warnings area for the state.

Christopher Daniels, Nevada OEM/HS, presented this project. This ask was for \$503,967.42, under the HSVP, to sustain the statewide interoperability coordinator, technology and EOC operations, and the chief communications officer functions. These positions and technology equipment keep Nevada able to communicate, coordinate, and inform the public during an act of terrorism or other large scale incident. This is a sustainment project, funding critical operational personnel, functions, and technology maintenance. OEM/HS has pursued increased state appropriation to carry these operational costs, over time, but it is not currently in place. Until

then, HSGP support is what sustains it.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, asked what performance metrics are utilized to evaluate the success of the social media campaigns developed. Mr. Daniels stated this is the responsibility of their communications officer. He stated that, although she is under his budget, she runs the communications portfolio independently, so he was unable to answer her question directly. Ms. Allison that if there was any data able to be shared, she would appreciate it being forwarded to her.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, stated she thought the state was looking to switch from the code red Mr. Daniels had mentioned. She then asked if they had looked at the cost adjustment for providing alerting services for the entire state and what that difference would be, relieving local jurisdictions of that financial burden.

Mr. Daniels stated that the state was looking to move to something other than code red, subsequently vacillating from that to other options, and then back to code red, which is now in compliance again. They currently have a contract, know how to use it, train others on it, and therefore, are extending it and going forward with it.

Chair Compston stated that the current budget this grant would support, OEM/HS does not have that built in for full, statewide support alerts and warnings. There have been discussions with county emergency managers at adjusting the language in NRS 414 to incorporate this. Then, it would potentially be synchronized with the association's bill to have that collectively added in the next session, but not be in this year's grant.

Tawanna Wade-Gerchman, OEM/HS, stated the code red system is currently working, but covering multiple smaller counties that are unable to cover alerts and warnings in their area.

Chair Compston asked Ms. Wade-Gerchman how many counties does this cover? Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated this covers Lyon, Mineral, and Esmeraldo. She is currently working Nye County, Tribes, and state police.

Diana Clarkson, City of Henderson Emergency Manager, asked if the project was at all scalable, specifically in outreach materials, as well as training and conferences. Mr. Daniels stated any cut does reduce their capability, as they lean on travel and other memberships, citing similar comments to NVMPD's SNCTC comments. He indicated all knowledge, information, and training received from these informs 17 counties, and 33 PSAPs, noting it is the cheapest way to gather experience, without having to personally experience the incident.

Mr. Daniels stated there is a redundant National Association of 911 Administrators (NASNA) membership and registration, which can be reduced, if necessary, but they lose backup capability; the annual safety conference for two people is meant to reinforce occupational safety; the portfolio workshop is an internal item, would enhance speed and execution in IT, could be cut, as well. Any further reductions lead to significant capability loss. He indicated outreach materials falls under the communications officer, again, independent of himself.

Heather Lafferty, Chief Resilience Officer OEM/HS, indicated campaign does cover outreach and multiple programs within the Nevada OEM. She didn't have it at that time, but will have those for

next year.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, voiced his concern on the size of the budget for the outreach supplies and the travel and conferences. It seemed excessive to him.

Cary Underwood, SMCTC LVMPD, asked what the contribution to the See Something, Say Something program was. He then asked, if approved, will there be future plans/years to try to get personnel-related expenses off the grant. Mr. Daniels stated the state is looking to reduce the overall HSGP ask in future years. Regarding the contribution to the See Something, Say Something program, again, he indicated this was a chief communication officer item and that he did not know the cost for this.

K. Nevada OEM/HS – Resilience Portfolio

Chair Compston stated this was not a LEPTA item, was not a national priority area, and was not a state focused investment.

Heather Lafferty, Chief Resilience Officer, OEM/HS, gave the presentation for this project. This is a sustainment ask for Nevada's resilience portfolio, whose primary focus is to sustain the everyday Nevadan's ability to respond, adapt and act faster, recover from terrorism and homeland security threats, disasters, and emergencies. Without this funding, Nevada loses the Chief Resilience Officer, the Tribal Emergency Manager, and sovereignty centered engagement who work with numerous agencies. These three positions are not redundant in capacity or capability.

Ms. Lafferty stated this team of three sustains mass care donation-coordination statewide, keeps dedicated liaisons in place for incidents, and maintains the only statewide resilient strategy function in the Nevada OEM. All 17 counties are of benefit, as well as 28 Tribal Nations, VOAD, NGO partners, and households served by mass-care during an activation.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, asked about the extensive budget for travel and conferences and wondered if this could be scaled at all. Ms. Lafferty indicated this could be scaled, but clarified that nearly \$38,000 is direct funding for the Tribes themselves traveling, as well as Ms. Lafferty herself.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Deputy Fire Chief, and Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, echoed their concerns regarding all of the travel and conferences, specifically citing that they prefer in-state travel over out-of-state travel, which would benefit the state, all around.

Cary Underwood, SNCTC asked, if approved, will there be future plans to remove position-related expenses off the grant. Ms. Lafferty stated there is a strategy to make that happen, with plans to ask legislation to fully fund all full-time positions within the Nevada OEM. They have found other funding streams, as well, but everything asked for in this grant is what they could not find funding for elsewhere.

There were no further questions or comments on this item.

L. Nevada OEM/HS – NIMS/THRA

Chair Compston stated this was not LEPTA, it was not a national priority area, but it was a statewide NIMS/THIRA from bulletin one.

Lindey Downey, Chief of Preparedness and Response Portfolio, OEM/HS, made this project presentation. This project will fund the planning, training, exercise, and watch programs in the amount of \$503,542.41. This will sustain the people, programs, and capabilities that prepare Nevada before disasters occur. It directly addresses the capability gaps identified through the state's THIRA and stakeholder preparedness review by strengthening infrastructure systems, recovery, mass care, logistics and supply chain management, and community resilience.

Ms. Downey stated the funding supports a percentage of salaries for the portfolio, pays for 20 staff to conduct and support RIPs, and will also support the maintenance of a new THIRA SPR tool that was requested by stakeholders, the procurement of a new learning management system that has more capabilities. Other expenses covered are supplies, cell phones, project management equipment, technical assistance, credentialing equipment and software, instructor pay and travel, and Vigilant Guard activities. It benefits all 17 counties, all cities, Tribal partners, state agencies, local jurisdictions, public safety organizations, and private sector partners.

Andrea Esp, Northern Nevada Public Health Preparedness, asked what the cost for the current learning was, as well as what additional capabilities the new system will enable. Ms. Downey stated that they paid a \$50,000 outstanding invoice, as well as a \$10,000 maintenance contract to the current vendor, NTQS, while they explore the new contractor, LMS. They are required to go through the three-quote RTF process, which is ongoing. If approved, there will be significant cost to procure it and build it, with online training opportunities, as well as external and internal records.

Ms. Esp asked if \$50,000 was going to be enough to procure the new learning system, and if the cost was above this, do they have funds to support the overage. Ms. Downey indicated they typically go with the least-cost bidder, which is what their current ask is for. The other vendors have all be more than this ask.

Sharon Luce, Deputy Administrator, OEM/HS, stated their current vendor for the learning management system created a bespoke system, making them reliant on one person for capability builds, as well as system management and administration. The new vendor will create a system that is flexible and able to work with other systems and upgrades, leading to not rework every, single time or a brand new build.

Ms. Esp asked if the cell phones individually listed were for individual cell phones for staff. Ms. Downey confirmed they were for individual staff, as all eight employees each have a cell phone, due to the technical positions they have, being broken into individual budgets.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, suggested members reach out and explore the learning system that Washington, D.C. has. She praised the broad range of abilities and flexibility it has.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Deputy Fire Chief, asked if the equipment and training could be broken down. He then stated that she had asked for no HSGP funding in 2025, so what activities

continued, stopped, or were funded elsewhere, and if so, where did it come from. Chair Compston stated that they advised the Governor that they were not funded. Therefore, they were very frugal with every dollar to fill in gaps, leading to a year-to-year funding approach.

Ms. Downey stated that, even though there were funding gaps and difficult times, they were still able to provide all of the required services with those limited resources.

Chief Brian O'Neal, Clark County Fire Department, asked for discussion on the training budget. Ms. Downey stated there is a budget for instructor pay and travel, with a focus on trying to focus on in-state instructors for G courses. However, for 0305 courses, they often have to bring in out-of-state instructors.

Diana Clarkson, City of Henderson Emergency Manager, asked of the train the trainer was on the docket within this performance period, to bring down the travel costs. Ms. Downey stated the train-the-trainer is for 2027. However, they are working on becoming a 449 state, with G courses, so they can teach it themselves, which they hope to attain by the end of the year.

Ana Chavez, LVMPD Analyst – Emergency Manager, asked if there was any scalability to the training budget. Ms. Downey stated there is scalability, yes, detailing out some items that could be halved or removed altogether.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, asked if the ask for instructor pay and travel is an increase from their current costs, as well as, is the current ask what they are projected to spend this fiscal year. Ms. Downey stated this is the same amount asked for last year, based on a three-year average. Again, she stated there is scalability.

Mr. Petersen asked what courses required out-of-state instructors, as well as are there instructors within Nevada to teach those. Ms. Downey stated they are working on it, building capabilities for 0305, in-state capabilities. This is difficult, as it is basically consulting companies versus people on their marathon contracts. She also stated they do pay instructors to go region to region, not just out of state, shouldering the travel costs, just not their salary, which their jurisdiction is.

Chair Compston asked if they were paying for instructors whose agencies aren't willing to allow them to teach on their normal rate, if they were to take leave and then instruct for them. Ms. Downey confirmed that this was correct.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, voiced his concerns about the travel and training budget, echoing the concerns of others. He also gave positive feedback on the 0305 development, as well as the 449 instructor plans. He felt this was very encouraging. He asked for more information and clarification on the 0305 program. Ms. Downey stated they were requested in region, to offer the train the trainer, after they offer the 0305, so they could have more in-state instructors. They are working on it, just need to get the 0305 top-off first, and then the train-the-trainer. She added that they have been working hard to keep their training and travel costs down, and working towards the 0305 and 449 programs.

M. Nevada OEM/HS – Statewide Recovery Program

Chair Compston this was not a LEPTA, was not a national priority item, but was a state required recovery brief.

Christopher Daniels, OEM/HS, presented this project. This project will cost \$106,225.80, to sustain the statewide recovery program preliminary damage assessment capability. This is a standardized tool the state uses to collect, validate, and report damage data after a disaster or an act of terrorism. This ask funds the state's capability gap to quickly and consistently capture damage data during an incident, facilitating electronic data capturing abilities versus manual forms, spreadsheets, and emails, which are slower and cause delayed response time.

Mr. Daniels stated this is a sustainment project, which is the minimum necessary to keep the PDA tool operating, the staff trained to run it, and the licenses across the grant period to avoid uninterrupted access to the tool. It also funds IT staff to support, maintain, and troubleshoot the technology. He indicated the licenses are the most expensive, but the most important, as if the licenses lapse, inconsistent manual data collection is lost when accurate assessments matter the most.

D.T. Allen, Public and Behavioral Health, asked if there was any thought or plan for the tool to be multi-use during response for GIS mapping in power data. Mr. Daniels confirmed their tool has that capability, using their tools and templates in different scenarios, just rolled out in a different name.

Mr. Allen then asked if there would be some element to capture any response use in future GIS trainings for PDA and recovery personnel. Mr. Daniels stated that their training would not be applicable in another use case, as their training is specific to their product. There would need to be other funding for training in other uses.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, asked how often tool was used and if there were any examples. Mr. Daniels stated it was just used in the multiple flood and landslide incidents that occurred in Washoe, Storey, and Lyon Counties. It gets used every time there is a disaster or damage assessment is required.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, and Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, stated that, as a local agency, they did not use the tool in the recent floods. It was used by the state. Additionally, they have had some challenges with it, in that it was not deployable well, in the way they thought it would be. Ms. Allison stated they have actually gone outside of this tool, utilizing an outside platform.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Deputy Fire Chief, asked why the tools used by the Nevada Division of Forestry and the U.S. Wildland Fire Services have not been used. Mr. Daniels stated that their goal is to have everyone with a need for such a product be using the same system, across the state, which will enable efficiency, prevent confusion, and foster teamwork. He noted that, although the 2023 incident was an example of a bad usage, they have significantly improved the product since then, and are working hard to get to their goal of everyone using the same tool.

Chair Compston asked if all options presented will be fully evaluated and explored, on the path to determine which way to move forward was best. Mr. Daniels confirmed that this was correct.

Mr. Petersen stated that the system his agency uses exists in multiple places in the state and is very informative, helpful, and flexible. He felt that if there was a new one added, it would be a duplication of efforts and services.

N. Nevada Threat Analysis Center (NTAC)

Chair Compston stated this was a law enforcement LEPTA, it was a national priority area of fusion, and it is a state require category of fusion.

Greg Prestipino, Director of Nevada Threat Analysis Center, presented this project. The purposes of NTAC is to receive, analyze, disseminate, and gather information from, to, and to share intelligence information with fusion centers, the federal government, state, and local Tribal governments, and private sector partners, in an effort to detect, deter, prevent, and /or mitigate terrorism and other criminal activity.

Mr. Prestipino stated this request is intended to sustain end-tax programs, operations, and staffing in accordance with the fusion center baseline and critical operating capabilities, while also supporting critical infrastructure and key resource assessments, threat liaison officer program, and national priority areas of focusing on enhancing law enforcement, terrorism prevention activities to prevent terrorism attacks. They focus on building and sustaining capabilities in areas such as intelligence and analysis, information sharing, threat analysis, and terrorist interdiction.

Chair Compston stated there were three personnel listed at 100% funding. He asked what the intent was on that – reduce or adjust – going into the biennium. Mr. Prestipino state they have put in HR-19s to the state to get those moved into the general fund. This requires legislative action, which will take time, but has been asked for.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, stated there are CDs listed in his budget. She wanted to know why such a request was present in 2026. Mr. Prestipino stated it was a mistake and should have been USB drives. Ms. Echeverria stated USB drives were also listed in the budget. Mr. Prestipino stated the CDs were a mistake and should be removed.

O. Nevada OEM – 911 Grant

Chair Compston stated this was a LEPTA, was not a national priority area, and was in the encouraged, 911 system enhancement.

Tawanna Wade-Gerchman, Statewide 911 Coordinator and Statewide Interoperability Coordinator, OEM/HS, presented for this project. This project is for a statewide 911 assessment to evaluate all 33 public safety answering safety points across all 17 counties. Nevada does not have a comprehensive statewide baseline of its 911 system, which would include capabilities, technology, cybersecurity, interoperability, governance, GIS readiness, workforce sustainability, and next-gen 911 preparedness. This assessment would provide baseline information for better decision making in long-term investments.

Ms. Wade-Gerchman gave examples from California and Arizona who did not have such statewide assessments, subsequently implemented next-gen 911, and have had to make

numerous revisions and adaptations because of unknown 911-related information. This would be a collaborative effort that would benefit every county and PSAP, strengthening the entire public safety system with every first responder and community across Nevada. She indicated she had been reaching out to multiple PSAPs, garnering positive feedback and support from many of them.

Andrea Esp, Northern Nevada Public Health Preparedness, asked which PSAPs had been worked with. She stated some have already adopted next-gen 911 and capabilities. She asked if the positive feedback had been from those that had already implemented the new technology. She asked how this assessment would compliment the ones that have already implemented the new technology.

Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated that yes, some of the larger PSAPs have implemented the next-gen 911, but that is just a piece of a currently fragmented system in Nevada. She indicated it is about interoperability, as those upgraded systems may have difficulty connecting or may not be able to connect to the older systems at all, and having a baseline of what systems exist where will provide an overall picture of the entire state 911 system.

Chair Compston asked what counties are next-gen 911. Ms. Wade-Gerchman indicated Clark, Washoe, and Elko Counties are working on it, with multiple others that are in between. The Nevada State Police has nothing, which is an entity that the counties communicate with regularly.

Chair Compston clarified that, at the state level, they do not have the ability to levy a 911 task, as this is delegated to each individual county to determine how this is done. The state is restricted from pushing FCC dollars, as they also have a law that allows them to use 911 services.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, asked where the large budget for travel came from. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated it includes travel for the contractor to conduct onset assessments in all the 17 counties and all the PSAPs within Nevada, as well as meet with leaders, stakeholders, and validate assessment data.

Mr. Boyer then asked what the scalability of the project was, again asking why the budget for travel was so high. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated there could be tier levels, with tier one starting from \$350,000, which would not include all the PSAPs, but collect the baseline data.

Chair Compston asked if tier one was the minimum spend, i.e., the minimum assessment. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated that this was incorrect. Tier one's minimum spend is \$350,000, which would be the statewide planning and coordination for interviews and high-level assessment, going through and discussing and seeing equipment each PSAP has and formulating a strategy. She stated tier two would be \$500,000 and tier three would be \$750,000. She indicated if it was done one tier at a time, it would ultimately cost more money. She stated the time frame could be up to a year, if done all at once. If done in tiers, it could take at least three years, with her returning to ask for more funding in the future.

Mr. Boyer asked if the contractor would be going to all of the sites or would Ms. Wade-Gerchman's office be conducting the visits. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated this would be done by a contractor doing the site visits and gathering all the information, compiling the data, creating the reports, the roadmap, and a final report, which would be for the entire state, even those that

have already upgrade to next-gen 911.

Lee Cabaniss, Elko County Emergency Manager, stated that, in his experience in Elko County, he understands the need for a specialized contractor, as there are numerous statutory and regulatory requirements to do something like this, of which entities like counties don't have the resources to fund. He also stated that if this project led to interoperability between all centers, regardless of their equipment, provider, etc., he would support it. He asked if she knew what counties are assessing a 911 surcharge and at what level.

Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated most counties are collecting the surcharge, except for a few, smaller ones, as they are small and it doesn't make sense to charge additional money for a service that isn't available.

Mr. Cabaniss then asked if there was a way to scale the project and focus it on the counties that aren't collecting the surcharge or haven't started with next-gen 911, again stating the need for such a specialized contractor, as there are so many regulations and large costs being too much for these smaller counties to support. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated it could be scaled back in this way, working on the smaller counties, getting those completed and then expanding to a statewide assessment. She indicated this assessment would be about \$750,000.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Fire Department, indicated that he has seen the benefits of next-gen 911, but felt it more beneficial to coordinate some assessments virtually versus in person, going back to the large line item for travel. Ms. Wade-Gerchman agreed with Mr. Petersen.

D.T. Allen, Public and Behavioral Health, asked if her budget was based on conversations with sites that are approaching next-gen 911, was it quote based, etc. He wanted to know where she derived her numbers from. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated this is from one quote, as she didn't have time to get multiple quotes. She has reached out to California, Arizona, and some local PSAPs, but most of it is from surrounding states and a contractor.

Christopher Daniels, OEM/HS, stated he would be open to consider scaling the project back in a different way, instead of the tiered approach or a lower-level review of all counties. He suggested a phased approach, taking a few counties at a time, rolled out over the course of a few years. He was looking for a way to scale it down, maybe working on the most critical, most needed counties first, then moving on to other counties until full coverage is obtained.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, asked why travel was broken out as a single line item, as in her experience with contractors, travel is not broken out, but is part of a single bid for the entirety of the project. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated that this was the first time she had done one of these, so it was a learning experience for her. This was the way it was written up in the proposal from the contractor, so she modeled that proposal to what she presented today.

Ms. Echeverria asked if she could clarify if there was a proposal from a contractor already. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated there was only one, as she did not have time to get others. This is from the Galena Group. She has reached out to Mission Critical to get a primary, but could not get a free service.

Ms. Echeverria then asked if she was able to get cost estimates from other jurisdictions who have approached this, possibly looking at their RFPs and how those were structured. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated most were just written out whole and just basically what they were doing, with a total included at the end.

Ms. Echeverria then stated she did not hear anyone speaking out in strong support of this project, and wondered if any of the numerous entities on the call today had any thoughts. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated that she had only spoken with the PSAPs, specifically the emergency communication managers, as they are the boots on the ground and experience the ins and outs within their centers.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, stated he does typically support Ms. Wade-Gerchman, but this is a challenging ask, due to the cost and the geographical challenges across the different PSAPs around the state, as what is good for one isn't always good for another, which could create huge disparities between counties. He indicated he understood that this was the purpose of the assessment, but again pointed out the large cost of this project, indicating this is a huge ask of money, which could be better spend elsewhere. He is also concerned about another project that may continue over many years and keep coming back for more and more money and never fully completed.

Mr. Bakkedahl then asked where Arizona got the money to fund their project. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated they got some of their money from 911 grants.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, liked the idea of the project, as Nevada is certainly fragmented and can be confusing. She did state she had concerns with the recommendations and the outcomes, which could create challenges with possible unfunded mandates coming out of it, as 911 surcharges are in the hands of the counties and this plan will not be a tailored approach and without the needed freedoms counties need. She asked what recommendations or intent was there to tailor this to each county. She asked what sort of timeline there was. She was concerned about getting to a final, finished product.

Solome Barton, North Las Vegas Emergency Manager, asked, if this project were to receive \$350,000, what would that do? Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated that this amount would cover all 33 PSAPs being evaluated, but it would be limited representative visits and have major risks, as they would not be on-site assessments. This would be based in information provided by the PSAPs, IT, and their GIS. Ms. Barton asked again, if this minimum would be enough to get started, working on additional funding at another time. Ms. Wade-Gerchman stated she could take that into account.

Chair Compston then ended the questions and comments for this line item.

P. Washoe County Emergency Management & Homeland Security Program - Perimeter FFY '26

Chair Compston indicated this was a LEPTA item, it was in the national priority area of soft targets, and it was also in the Nevada encouraged category of alerts and warnings and evac and incident information sharing.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Management, presented this project for Washoe

County. She stated the project will strengthen Nevada's readiness for terrorism by improving real-time information sharing, coordinated response, and clear public communication. THIRA documents complex, coordinated attacks and active shooter events that impact thousands of people across multiple jurisdictions, and require immediate alerts, identification of danger areas, and coordinated protective actions. This project will improve the ability to prevent, prepare for, protect against, and response to acts of terrorism. It will directly strengthen information sharing, situational awareness, and coordinated decision making.

Ms. Echeverria stated the project is \$19,000, which is for the full, statewide amount, to include all counties. She did indicate she has not made contact with Eureka or Lander Counties, so there is some flexibility in that dollar figure. Chair Compston stated he was in favor of this project, stating he has seen this system in operation, noting the ease of use, as a citizen, versus what is used by California. This Perimeter system versus the EVAC system California and the system being used in Minnesota is completely unparalleled, he indicated.

Ms. Echeverria stated they did use the system with the fires in L.A. not long ago, discovering what a good purchase they had made. It shows citizens where they are at, in relation to a community threat, enabling better decision making for their own safety. It would also enable visitors to Nevada an extremely useful tool.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, stated that, from the emergency response and bomb squad side of it, it is a very useful, easy-to-use tool. He had high praise for it.

Captain Joe Colacurcio, Washoe County Sheriff's Office, echoed what Mr. Boyer stated, indicating as a line-level user, the product is phenomenal, working well for law enforcement, setting up perimeters, large-scale events, for fires, coordinated events, etc. He is very pleased with it.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Management, stated he has used it for multiple fires, special events, even things such as mosquito fogging in neighborhoods, finding it to be a very valuable tool.

Ms. Echeverria stated they have also worked with NDOT over the last few years to incorporate road closures and construction data into the system, so that information is in there for evacuation needs.

Roy Anderson, Washoe County School District, stated he also has high praises for the product, from a school district perspective, using it as a reliable source for situational awareness.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Management, echoed all the positive sentiments of the others, adding that this vendor has worked with them to evolve their capabilities as their contracts have been approved.

Cary Underwood, SNCTC, asked about licensing, wondering if it was friendly in an operational environment or more desk oriented rather than individual license holder oriented. Ms. Echeverria stated it is not licensed based, but service based.

There were no other questions or comments on this item.

Q. Washoe County Emergency Management & Homeland Security Program - Statewide COOP Sustain FFY '26

Chair Compston stated this was not LEPTA, it was not a national priority area, but is a state required item, but depends on the COOP COG continuation.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, presented this project for Washoe County. This project will directly support Nevada's ability to prepare for, protect against, and response to acts of terrorism by ensuring government agencies can continue essential functions. It meets the COOP COG sustainment and strengthens statewide readiness. It aligns with Nevada's required investment areas for this fiscal year, which specifically identify COOP COG as a mandated priority. It supports the statewide Homeland Security mission and meets the requirement that all projects contribute directly to terrorism prevention and response. She stated they will be adding two more, which are in Mineral County and NDOW.

There were no questions or comments on this item.

R. Washoe County Sheriff's Office - Community Emergency Response Team FY '26

Chair Compston stated this was a LEPTA, was a national priority of soft targets, and was a state required investment for CERTs.

Joel Olaciregui, Washoe County Sheriff's Office, presented this project for the Washoe County Sheriff's Office. The Washoe County CERT enhances the region's ability to prevent, protect against, responds to, and recover from emergency disaster events by equipping community members with essential disaster response skills and integrating trained volunteers into established emergency operations. Their mission is closely aligned with the state's national preparedness goal and directly supports several core capabilities outlined in Nevada's THIRA and stakeholder preparedness reviews. CERT directly addresses statewide assessment gaps by maintaining a trained and deployable volunteer force that supplements professional emergency responders during high impact incidents. He provided numerous examples of organized events and emergencies where the CERT team provided critical services in planned and unplanned events.

Mr. Olaciregui stated this year's ask is \$127,047.01, representing a substantial level investment required to preserve essential program functions. Of this amount, \$11,547 supports organizational needs to include personnel, equipment, administration, and grant requirements. Currently, staffing relies solely on grant funding, with no alternative funding source within the county's general fund. Even so, they are working on filling the gap to get them funded through the county.

Mr. Olaciregui stated the remaining \$15,500 supports essential equipment and supplies needed for safe and effective, voluntary operations, such as CERT back replenishment, first aid materials, PPE, flashlights, small tools, and traffic control equipment, which are routinely used during deployment.

There were no questions or comments on this item.

S. Washoe County Sheriff's Office - Detention Facility Enhancement & Interagency Communication Capability

Chair Compston stated this was a LEPTA item, pointing out that this is the 10% mandatory border spend, which is auto approved and should be number one on their ranking sheets.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, presented this brief. He stated that after reviewing their facility, they felt the best way to apply this 10% would be to enhance the visitation abilities of inmates housed at the facility, which is the largest portion of this amount. The remaining amount is for communication interoperability among homeland security partners, as well as federal partners. They will use \$87,304 to purchase equipment to take one radio, plug it into a system that enables communication with radio channels.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, asked what the difference between this year and last year's project. Mr. Boyer stated that last year's project was focusing on creating a private space where the screening process for inmates would occur, rather than in a public area, where everyone could see and hear what was happening. This year's project will reconstruct the current small, three-space facility for client-attorney visits, enabling expansion, as well as allow potential visits with family members, which are currently done completely virtual.

Chair Compston stated that, as a reminder, the project from last year is still on hold, pending DHS review. Therefore, those funds have not yet been released, enabling project completion.

There were no other comments or questions on this item.

T. Washoe County Sheriff's Office - Northern Nevada Regional Intelligence Center (NNRIC)

Chair Compston stated this was a LEPTA category, was a national priority area for both soft targets and fusion centers, and was a state required spend under the fusion and intel center categories.

Joshua Jenkins, Director, Northern Nevada Regional Intelligence Center (NNRIC), gave the presentation for this project. He stated NNRIC collaborates with all local law enforcement agencies for threat detection, working heavily with NTAC and SNCTC. This request focuses on critical gaps in proactive threat detection, intelligence sharing, and the protection of soft targets in the region. The first area is open source intelligence platform -- online. The plan is to bring on a platform that allows automatic information aggregation that can be analyzed. The second area is for a jurisdictionally owned camera system for infrastructure and soft targets. This is not a criminal investigation camera system, such as Flock. This would be county owned and managed with all information obtained and purchased by the county. There would be no recurring costs. Gathered information could be shared with intelligence partners such as NTAC, SNCTC, EOC, etc. The cost for this project is \$60,000, with no scalability.

There were no questions or comments for this item.

U. Washoe County Sheriff's Office - Consolidated Bomb Squad Robot Enhancement

Chair Compston stated this one was not a LEPTA, was a national priority for soft targets, and is a

state mandatory spend item.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, presented for this project. He stated they serve as one of four bomb squads in the state, based out of Reno. They cover the entire state, as well as parts of California for any potentially explosive related situation. This year's ask is for \$208,000 for robot enhancements. Last year's ask was for a robot to replace their aged-out one, primary response robot. This year, he approached three partnering agencies to buy the platform for another aged-out robot for \$132,000. This year's ask is for the attachments to make the robot functional, which would include the arm, edge compute capability, camera, x-ray integration, and sampling capability. Additionally, this robot would have counter-UAS capability, which is a growing threat and becomes a situation for the bomb squad when it reaches the ground.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, stated Noah and his team supports the Reno Balloon Races, at which a number of drones are found during this event. Therefore, this enhancement will be quite beneficial.

Chair Compston voiced his appreciation for the way multiple bomb teams in northern Nevada have used these funds and coordinate every year to synchronize who will get what and in what sequence.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Emergency Manager, also stated his appreciation for the way the bomb squads have synchronized their requests on a kind of rotating schedule and not all presenting at the same time with four requests all in one year.

Mr. Boyer stated that, historically, bomb squads kind of fought each other, not working in coordination for funding requests. At some point, they came to an agreement and have settled into this rotating schedule type of thing for funding requests from NRAC. Additionally, they support each other as much as possible, in all other areas, as well. When one team needs something, another steps up, filling a gap for that team, when necessary, and visa versa. They now look at themselves as not four bomb squads, but one, large bomb squad covering the entirety of Nevada.

Mr. Petersen stated that, as Nevada continues to support massive events, such as the Super Bowl and FIFA, a lot of costs fall onto local agencies for law enforcement and emergency type resources. He was willing to support the bomb squad putting in for travel costs to cover these large events, where multiple squads are being sent from all over the state. Mr. Boyer indicated that they have worked on this funding, like for Amazon. But as this is a private event, they are able to get funded. But for events like Burning Man, they cover the cost of that, as a two-hour response time for an event with 80,000 people is not ideal.

Cary Underwood, SNCTC, voiced his appreciation for what Noah and his team does to get as many things they need working with their partners and other teams and always looking to get as much of those costs cover as they can. He also stated that the capabilities of the quadruped robots and their capabilities are critically important in a multitude of settings and not just special events.

There were no other comments or questions on this item.

V. Washoe County Sheriff's Office - Regional Mobile Ad Hoc Network & Communications

Chair Compston stated this was a LEPTA item, was a national priority item as a soft target and cyber, but was not a state focused area.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, made the presentation for this item, as well. He stated they have been using mobile, ad hoc networks in robots for some time, indicating he had an opportunity to speak to individual sin the Carolinas and Texas, after tornadoes and floods. This capability has been used during the global war on terror, in Iraq, and in Afghanistan. It was developed for subterranean communication, but has expanded exponentially and into public safety. He indicated communication is moving away from radios and into data, and they are looking at being able to push communication to the data environment where either the infrastructure is overwhelmed or there is no more infrastructure. This technology will open that door for them.

Mr. Boyer indicated Las Vegas has been using these systems for sometime and that Phoenix is developing a citywide system for all their tactical teams and bomb squads. It will enable teams to bring their infrastructure with them, which is something that they look at, post-incident, but currently lack. He gave examples of the Davis fire, when a radio tower went down and other equipment was brought in -- they still were not able to push the data that was needed. He cited that if internet capability were to be lost it would have an exponential impact on data sharing and communication.

Mr. Boyer stated that having a mobile, ad hoc network, enabling point-to-point, encrypted communication, will meet all the requirements for level II encryption. Therefore, patient data can be used, and all kinds of information can be pushed through. This will also avoid potential radio communication problems if radios fail due to a tower going down, necessitating the failover to StarLink, which they do not currently have. If a tower does go down, they don't have communication, relying on line of sight. It would also bypass an overwhelmed system, such as at large events like Burning Man.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, asked if other funding mechanisms had been looked into for the project. Mr. Boyer stated he hasn't looked at other funding sources, as NRAC has always been very good to him and his teams for funding sources, as well as networking to search for other funding sources. Even if funding has not been approved for an ask, he has always counted on the NRAC group to assist his team in other ways.

There were no other questions or comments for this item.

Chair Compston then asked if there were any other comments or questions for Agenda Item 5. There were none.

6. Ranking of FFY 2026 SHSP Project and Budget Proposals

Chair Compston, OEM/HS, again explained how the ranking will happen, as well as what will happen to the ranking recommendations after today, moving to the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security Committee on Finance, then to the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security, then to FEMA, on behalf of Nevada.

Chair Compston then stated he would be asking each project representative for any voluntary cost adjustments, going down the above alphabetical list assignments given.

NRAC ID Alpha - no adjustments.

NRAC ID Bravo - no adjustments.

NRAC ID Charlie - no adjustments.

NRAC ID Delta - Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, stated he would adjust to the 3%, which was \$130,882.

Chair Compston confirmed that NRAC ID Delta, Carson City election project, amended their application to 3% of \$130,882.

Shae Sloan, OEM/HS, stated an adjustment of \$733,427.50, which made a final project cost of \$130,882.50. Mr. Bakkedahl confirmed this.

NRAC ID Echo - no adjustments.

NRAC ID Foxtrot - no adjustments.

NRAC ID Gulf – Kara Easton, Douglas County Emergency Manager, stated that they would remove the project from the rankings.

Chair Compston confirmed that NRAC ID Gulf was removed from the rankings.

NRAC ID H - no adjustments.

NRAC ID I - no adjustments.

NRAC ID J - Christopher Daniels, OEM/HS, voiced a removal of the additional NASNA membership, the safety conferences, and the workshop, for an end total of \$498,159.42.

Chair Compston asked for a readback of the reduction amount.

Mr. Daniels stated his reduction amount was \$5,808. Chair Compston stated it was \$5,808. Mr. Daniels confirmed this amount.

Shae Sloan, OEM/HS, asked for confirmation that the final project amount was \$498,159.42. Mr. Daniels confirmed this dollar figure.

NRAC ID K - Heather Lafferty, OEM/HS, indicated they would cut travel, for a reduction of \$11,695.16.

Shae Sloan, OEM/HS, stated a final project amount of \$185,695.16.

DRAFT NRAC MINUTES 07 13 2026

NRAC ID L – Lindey Downey, OEM/HS, stated removal of numerous items, for a total reduction of \$63,550, for a total project cost of \$430,992.41.

Shae Sloan, OEM/HS, stated the total reduction of \$63,550, for a final project cost of \$439,991.41. Ms. Downey confirmed these figures.

NRAC ID M - no adjustment.

NRAC ID N - no adjustment.

NRAC ID O - Tawanna Wade-Gerchman, OEM/HS, stated \$250,000. She then clarified that the total project cost would be \$750,000, with a reduction of \$250,000.

NRAC ID P - no adjustment.

NRAC ID Q - no adjustment.

NRAC ID R - no adjustment.

NRAC ID S - no adjustment.

NRAC ID T - no adjustment.

NRAC ID U - no adjustments.

NRAC ID V – Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, stated a \$33,000 reduction, for a total project cost of \$206,808.

Chair Compston then read back the project that cost-reduction adjustment responses, to confirm. They were as follows:

NRAC ID D, a reduction to the 3%, \$130,882; for NRAC ID J, a reduction of \$5,808; NRAC ID K, a reduction of \$11,585.70; NRAC ID L, a reduction of \$63,550; NRAC ID O, a reduction of \$250,000; and NRAC ID V, a reduction of \$33,000.

Shae Sloan, OEM/HS, stated that NRAC ID G had been removed completely.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, asked for clarification on ID O. Chair Compston stated O was a reduction of \$250,000, for a total of \$750,000.

Chair Compston then stated members were to follow the ranking sheet they received to rank the proposals, with Chief O’Neal and Chair Compston voting last, so as not to influence member votes in any way. He again reminded members that item G had been removed from the ranking.

Chair Compston then started gathering rankings from members as below:

Andy Ancho, City of Reno Emergency Manager, stated his rankings as follows:

DRAFT NRAC MINUTES 07 13 2026

A, 21; B, 19; C, 8; D, 3; G -- I'm sorry -- E, 16; F, 17; H, 2; I, 18; J, 12; K, 15; L, 9; M, 14; N, 5; O, 20; P, 4; O -- did I get another O? What am I doing here? Q. I'm sorry. P was 4; Q, 6; R, 7; S, 1; T, 11; U, 10; V, 13.

Shae Sloan, OEM/HS, verbally read back Mr. Ancho's rankings, with Mr. Ancho confirming they were correct.

Chair Compston then asked for rankings from Jason Andrus, City of Mesquite Fire Chief. He did not respond to Chair Compston's request.

Roy Anderson, Washoe County School District, stated his rankings as follows:

A, 17; B, 19; C, 10; D, 4; E, 20; F, 13; H, 2; I, 18; J, 14; K, 15; L, 9; M, 16; N, 5; O, 21; P, 3; Q, 7; R, 8; S, 1; T, 6; U, 11; V, 12.

Ms. Sloan verbally read back Mr. Anderson's rankings, with Mr. Anderson confirming they were correct.

Solome Barton, City of North Las Vegas Fire Department, stated her rankings as follows:

A, 20; B, 18; C, 12; D, 4; E, 21; F, 9; H, 3; I, 11; J, 17; K, 13; L, 14; M, 16; N, 2; O, 19; P, 7; Q, 6; R, 8; S, 1; T, 5; U, 10; and V, 15.

Ms. Sloan verbally read back Ms. Barton's rankings, with Ms. Barton confirming they were correct.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, stated her rankings as follows:

A, 17; B, 19; C, 5; D, 3; E, 20; F, 6; H, 8; I, 2; J, 14; K, 13; L, 15; M, 16; N, 7; O, 21; P, 4; Q, 9; R, 10; S, 1; T, 18; U, 11; V, 12.

Ms. Sloan verbally read back Ms. Allison's rankings, with Ms. Allison confirming they were correct.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, stated his rankings as follows:

A, 19; B, 20; C, 5; D, 2; E, 18; F, 7; H, 4; I, 6; J, 15; K, 13; L, 14; M, 16; N, 3; O, 21; P, 9; Q, 12; R, 8; S, 1; T, 17; U, 10; V, 11.

Ms. Sloan verbally read back Mr. Bakkedahl's rankings, with Mr. Bakkedahl confirming they were correct.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, stated his rankings as follows:

A, 21; B, 20; C, 8; D, 15; E, 18; F, 17; H, 6; I, 16; J, 13; K, 14; L, 12; M, 11; N, 7; O, 19; P, 9; Q, 10; R, 5; S, 1; T, 4; U, 2; and V, 3.

Ms. Sloan verbally read back Mr. Boyer's rankings, with Mr. Boyer confirming they were correct.

DRAFT NRAC MINUTES 07 13 2026

Lee Cabaniss, Elko County Emergency Manager, stated his rankings as follows:

A, 21; B, 12; C, 6; D, 2; E, 19; F, 14; H, 3; I, 11; J, 15; K, 17; L, 16; M, 18; N, 4; O, 20; P, 7; Q, 8; R, 9; S, 1; T, 10; U, 5; V, 13.

Ms. Sloan verbally read back Mr. Cabaniss' rankings, with Mr. Cabaniss confirming they were correct.

Chair Compston asked for rankings from Doug McMahan, Clark County Aviation. There was no response.

Ana Chavez, LVMPD Emergency Manager, stated her rankings as follows:

A, 10; B, 19; C, 9; D, 3; E, 21; F, 13; H, 2; I, 15; J, 12; K, 14; L, 4; M, 17; N, 6; O, 20; P, 5; Q, 11; R, 7; S, 1; T, 8; U, 16; V, 18.

Ms. Sloan verbally read back Ms. Chavez's rankings, with Ms. Chavez confirming they were correct.

Joe Colacurcio, Washoe County Sheriff's Office, stated his rankings as follows:

A, 18; B, 20; C, 9; D, 15; E, 19; F, 16; H, 7; I, 17; J, 14; K, 12; L, 13; M, 11; N, 6; O, 21; P, 8; Q, 10; R, 5; S, 1; T, 2; U, 4; V, 3.

Chair Compston called for results from Kyle Cerfoglio, Nevada National Guard, and Tim Galluzi, Governor's Technology Office. There was no response from either individual.

Kelly Echeverria, Washoe County Emergency Manager, stated her rankings, as follows:

A, 20; B, 19; C, 5; D, 13; E, 18; F, 12; H, 6; I, 11; J, 15; K, 14; L, 16; M, 17; N, 8; O, 21; P, 2; Q, 3; R, 4; S, 1; T, 7; U, 9; V, 10.

Ms. Sloan read back Ms. Echeverria's rankings, with Ms. Echeverria confirming they were correct.

Tim Hill, NV Energy, stated his rankings, as follows:

Alpha is 18; 22; 6; 15; 14; 5; H is 12; 4; 21; 16; 17; 19; 2; 21; 1; 7; 8; 3; 9; 10; and 13.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Hill's rankings, asking for clarification on some items. After some discussion, Tim asked that Chair Compston come back to him, so that he had time to recompute and correct some errors.

Eric Holt, Lincoln County Emergency Manager, stated his rankings, as follows:

A is 19; B, 10; C, 5; D, 6; E, 21; F, 17; H, 4; I, 15; J, 12; K, 13; L, 7; M, 14; N, 2; O, 20; P, 3; Q, 11; R, 9; S, 1; T, 16; U, 8; V, 18.

DRAFT NRAC MINUTES 07 13 2026

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Holt's rankings, with Mr. Holt confirming they were correct.

Chris Lake, NV Hospital Association, stated his rankings, as follows:

I have, A, 17; B, 18; C, 12; D, 2; E, 21; F, 15; H, 5; I, 14; J, 6; K, 16; L, 3; M, 8; N, 4; O, 20; P, 7; Q, 10; R, 13; S, 1; T, 19; U, 9; V, 11.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Lake's rankings, with Mr. Lake confirming they were correct.

Carolyn Levering, City of Las Vegas Emergency Manager, stated her rankings, as follows:

A, 16; B, 13; C, 5; D, 7; E, 20; F, 15; H, 2; I, 14; J, 12; K, 10; L, 11; M, 9; N, 18; O, 21; P, 8; Q, 3; R, 4; S, 1; T, 19; U, 6; V, 17.

Ms. Sloan read back Ms. Levering's rankings, with Ms. Levering confirming they were correct.

Greg Prestipino, NTAC, stated his rankings, as follows:

A, 20; B, 21; C, 11; D, 7; E, 19; F, 16; H, 3; I, 17; J, 8; K, 12; L, 9; M, 13; N, 2; O, 18; P, 6; Q, 14; R, 10; S, 1; T, 4; U, 5; V, 15.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Prestipino's rankings, with Mr. Prestipino confirming they were correct.

Matt Petersen, Elko County Fire Department, stated his rankings, as follows:

A, 19; B, 12; C, 5; D, 2; E, 20; F, 18. We won't count G. That's the CERT for Douglas County. H, 3; That's the SNCTC; I, 11; J, 14; K, 15; L, 16; M, 17; N, 9; O, 21; P, 8; Q, 7; R, 6; S, 1; T, 10; U, 4; V, 13.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Petersen's rankings, with Mr. Petersen confirming they were correct.

Chair Compston asked for rankings for Ken Quiner, Washoe Tribes of NV and CA Emergency Manager. He did not respond.

Misty Robinson, Southern Nevada Health District, stated her rankings, as follows:

A, 19; B, 15; C, 10; D, 3; E, 21; F, 16; H, 2; I, 18; J, 12; K, 7; L, 9; M, 13; N, 5; O, 20; P, 4; Q, 6; R, 11; S, 1; T, 8; U, 14; and V, 17.

Ms. Sloan read back Ms. Robinson's rankings, with Ms. Robinson confirming they were correct.

Bill Savran, NV Seismological Lab, stated his rankings, as follows:

A, 20; B, 19; C, 6; D, 3; E, 18; F, 14; H, 2; I, 15; J, 12; K, 16; L, 13; M, 17; N, 5; O, 21; P, 4; Q, 10; R, 9; S, 1; T, 8; U, 7; V, 11.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Savran's rankings, with Mr. Savran confirming they were correct.

Cary Underwood, SNCTC, stated his rankings, as follows:

DRAFT NRAC MINUTES 07 13 2026

I have, A, 11; B, 17; C, 13; D, 6; E, 21; F, 18; H, 2; I, 19; J, 12; K, 16; L, 4; M, 10; N, 3; O, 20; D, 7; Q, 9; R, 14; S, 1; T, 5; U, 8; and V, 15.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Underwood's rankings, with Mr. Underwood confirming they were correct.

D.T. Allen, Public and Behavioral Health, stated his rankings, as follows:

A, 7; B, 15; C, 5; D, 10; E, 16; F, 21; H, 2; I, 14; J, 18; K, 6; L, 13; M, 11; N, 3; O, 20; P, 4; Q, 12; R, 8; S, 1; T, 17; U, 19; V, 9.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Allen's rankings, with Mr. Allen confirming they were correct.

Chair Compston asked for rankings from Mr. Carroll, who initially stated he was not a voting member. Chair Compston stated he was a voting member. After some discussion, Chair Compston stated he would come back to Mr. Carroll and ask again, after he had time to think on the matter and tabulate rankings, if Bert so desired.

Diana Clarkson, City of Henderson Emergency Manager, stated her rankings, as follows:

A, 5; B, 11; C, 15; D, 2; E, 20; F, 19; H, 3; I, 18; J, 9; K, 8; L, 4; M, 7; N, 6; O, 21; P, 14; Q, 13; R, 16; S, 1; T, 10; U, 12; V, 17.

Ms. Sloan read back Ms. Clarkson's rankings, with Ms. Clarkson confirming they were correct.

Scott Means, Sparks Fire Department, stated his rankings, as follows:

A, 19; B, 20; C, 8; D, 7; E, 15; F, 10; H, 5; I, 17; J, 11; K, 18; L, 13; M, 16; N, 6; O, 21; P, 2; Q, 3; R, 4; S, 1; T, 9; U, 12; and V, 14.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Means' rankings, with Mr. Means confirming they were correct.

Chair Compston asked if Colonel Cerfoglio was back online. He did not respond. He then asked if Andrea Esp was ready. She confirmed she was.

Andrea Esp, Northern Nevada Public Health Preparedness, stated her rankings, as follows:

A, 18; B, 19; C, 7; D, 5; E, 11; F, 20; G -- oh, we're not doing G. Okay. H, 4; I, 6; J, 16; K, 17; L, 14; M, 15; N, 3; O, 21; P, 2; Q, 10; R, 13; S, 1; T, 12; U, 8; V, 9.

Ms. Sloan read back Ms. Esp's rankings, with Ms. Esp confirming they were correct.

Tim Hill, NV Energy, stated his rankings, as follows:

Alpha, 17; Bravo, 20; Charlie, 6; Delta, 14; Echo, 13; Foxtrot, 5; Gulf, no score; Hotel, 11; India, 4; Juliet, 19; Kilo, 15; Lima, 16; Mic, 18; November, 2; Oscar, 21; Papa, 3; Quebec, 7; Romeo, 8; Sierra, 1; Tango, 9; Uniform, 10; Victor, 12.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Hill's rankings, with Mr. Hill confirming they were correct.

Chair Compston asked if Bert Carroll was ready to give his rankings. He attempted to give his rankings, but found errors within them. Chief indicated he would come back to Mr. Carroll later.

Brian O'Neal, Clark County Fire Department Emergency Management, gave his rankings, as follows:

A, 3; B, 17; C, 5; D, 2; E, 21; F, 7; H, 8; I, 4; J, 18; K, 15; L, 14; M, 11; N, 9; O, 20; P, 12; Q, 10; R, 13; S, 1; T, 19; U, 6; V, 16.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. O'Neal's rankings, with Mr. O'Neal confirming they were correct.

Chair Brett Compston, OEM/HS, gave his rankings, as follows:

Alpha, 19; Bravo, 18; Charlie, 11; Delta, 6; Echo, 20; Foxtrot, 16; Hotel, 3; India, 15; Juliet, 14; Kilo, 13; Lima, 8; Mic, 7; November, 2; Oscar, 21; Papa, 9; Quebec, 12; Romeo, 10; Sierra, 1; Tango, 5; Uniform, 4; Victor, 17.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Compston's rankings, with Mr. Compston confirming they were correct.

Bert Carroll, Governor's Technology Office, gave his rankings, as follows:

Alpha, 5; Bravo, 10; Charlie, 11; Delta, 2; Echo, 20; Foxtrot, 18; Hotel, 17; India, 7; Juliet, 3; Kilo, 9; Lima, 6; Mic, 12; November, 8; Oscar, 4; Papa, 14; Quebec, 13; Romeo, 16; Sierra, 1; Tango, 19; Uniform, 15; Victor, 21.

Ms. Sloan read back Mr. Carroll's rankings, with Mr. Carroll confirming they were correct.

The grant team then paused and tallied the results.

Chair Compston had Ms. Sloan bring up the tally results sheet on the presentation screen. He had Shae highlight everything below a line that he indicated on the sheet. He stated that everything below the line, would put them approximately 20-some thousand dollars above the amount available. Those would be ID Victor, Kilo, Mic (which was a mandator spend).

The committee decided to cut project "J" OEM/HS Technology and Mission Support proposal. By doing so, the mandatory spend for statewide recovery proposed by Washoe County moved above the line.

The OEM/HS Resiliency Portfolio proposal (item K) took a reduction of \$38,703.91, and the OEM/HS NIMS/THIRA proposal (item L) took a reduction of \$63,550. The reductions and removal of these items allowed items V, K, and M to move above the line for funding.

Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, asked if all the required spend minimums were met. Chair Compston stated they will meet the 30% national priority areas, the 35% law enforcement, the 10% border, and the 3% election. He reiterated that 20% of the entire grant is

at risk if the state cannot meet the requirements, and as of today, they cannot. He wanted to let every applicant know this, up front, but that they will meet all of the requirements, with OEM taking the adjustments somehow. Jon Bakkedahl stated that was fair.

Solome Barton, North Las Vegas Emergency Manager, made a motion to accept the ranking with Chair Compston's changes. Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad seconded, motion passed unanimously.

7. Public Comment

Chair Compston, OEM/HS, opened the second period of public comment.

Noah Boyer, WCSO Consolidated Bomb Squad, thanked the OEM/HS team for all their work on the grants and the meeting.

There were no additional public comment.

8. Adjournment

Chair Compston, OEM/HS, called for a motion to adjourn.

Taylor Allison, Lyon County Emergency Manager, made a motion. Jon Bakkedahl, Carson City Emergency Manager, seconded. Motion passed unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 2:33PM.